

# CropWatch bulletin QUARTERLY REPORT ON GLOBAL CROP PRODUCTION

Monitoring Period: October 2016 - January 2017

Feb 28, 2017 Volume 17, No. 1 (Total No. 104)



Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI) Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)

Crophatch

### February 2017 Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI), Chinese Academy of Sciences P.O. Box 9718-29, Olympic Village Science Park West Beichen Road, Chaoyang Beijing 100101, China

This bulletin is produced by the CropWatch research team at the Digital Agriculture Division, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI), Chinese Academy of Sciences, under the overall guidance of Professor Bingfang Wu.

Contributors are Diego de Abelleyra, Jose Bofana, Sheng Chang, Bulgan Davdai, Rene Gommes, Zhaoxin He, Mingyong Li, Olipa Lungu, Zongha Ma, Prashant Patil, Elijah Phiri, Mrinal Singha, Battestseg (Baku) Tuvdendorj, Shen Tan, Fuyou Tian, Linjiang Wang, Meiling Wang, Bingfang Wu, Qiang Xing, Jiaming Xu, Nana Yan, Mingzhao Yu, Hongwei Zeng, Miao Zhang, Xin Zhang, Xinfeng Zhao, Yang Zheng, Liang Zhu, and Weiwei Zhu.

Thematic contributors for this bulleting include: Jingxin Fang (vc1618@163.com) for the section on price prediction in China. Fengying Nie (niefengying@sohu.com) and Xuebiao Zhang for the section on food import and export outlook in 2017. English version editing was provided by Anna van der Heijden.

**Corresponding author:** Professor Bingfang Wu Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences Fax: +8610-64858721, E-mail: **cropwatch@radi.ac.cn**, **wubf@radi.ac.cn** 

**CropWatch Online Resources:** This bulletin along with additional resources is also available on the CropWatch Website at http://www.cropwatch.com.cn.

*Disclaimer:* This bulletin is a product of the CropWatch research team at the Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI), Chinese Academy of Sciences. The findings and analyses described in this bulletin do not necessarily reflect the views of the Institute or the Academy; the CropWatch team also does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. RADI and CAS are not responsible for any losses as a result of the use of this data. The boundaries used for the maps are the GAUL boundaries (Global Administrative Unit Layers) maintained by FAO; where applicable official Chinese boundaries have been used. The boundaries and markings on the maps do not imply a formal endorsement or opinion by any of the entities involved with this bulletin.

# Contents

**O** *Note:* CropWatch resources, background materials and additional data are available online at www.cropwatch.com.cn.

Contents iii			
Abbreviationsvi			
Bulletin overview and reporting period vii			
Executive summary			
Chapter 1. Global agroclimatic patterns  11    1.1 Overview  11			
Chapter 2. Crop and environmental conditions in major production zones			
2.2 West Africa			
2.4 South America			
2.5 South and Southeast Asia			
2.7 Central Europe to Western Russia			
3.1 Overview			
Chanter 4 China			
4.1 Overview			
4.2 China food imports in 2016 and export outlook for 201767			
4.3 Outlook for the domestic price of four major crops694.4 Regional analysis70			
Chapter 5. Focus and perspectives			
5.1 CropWatch production outlook			
5.2 Disaster events			
5.3 East and Southeast Asia815.4 Update on El Niño88			
Annex A. Agroclimatic indicators and BIOMSS91			
Annex B. 2016-2017 Southern hemisphere wheat production estimates			
Annex C. Quick reference to CropWatch indicators, spatial units, and production estimation methodology 98			
Data notes and bibliography			
Acknowledgments			
Online resources 106			

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators by Major Production Zone, current value and
departure from 15YA16
Table 2.2. October 2016-January 2017 agronomic indicators by Major Production Zone, current season values and    departure from 5YA    16
Table 3.1. CropWatch agroclimatic and agronomic indicators for October 2016-January 2017, departure from 5YA    and 15YA
Table 4.1. CropWatch agroclimatic and agronomic indicators for China, October 2016-January 2017, departure    from 5YA and 15YA
Table 4.2. Chinese imports and exports of main commodities in 2016 and 2017 (projections)
Table 5.1. Comparison of selected agricultural indicators in Eastern Asia, Southeast Asia and the world
Table A.1. October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass by global Monitoring and Reporting    Unit
Table A.2. October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass by country
Table A.3. Argentina, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by province)    93
Table A.4. Australia, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)
Table A.5. Brazil, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)
Table A.6. Canada, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by province)
Table A.7. India, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)
Table A.8. Kazakhstan, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by oblast)
Table A.9. Russia, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by oblast, kray and republic)
Table A.10. United States, October 2016-January 2017 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by state)
Table A.11. China, July 2016 - October 2016 agroclimatic indicators and biomass (by province)    96
Table B.1. Argentina, 2016-2017 wheat production, by province (thousand tons)
Table B.2. Australia, 2016-2017 wheat production, by state (thousand tons)
Table B.3 Brazil, 2016-2017 wheat production, by state (thousand tons)

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 rainfall anomaly (as indicated by the RAIN indicator) by	
MRU, departure from 15YA (percentage)	14
Figure 1.2. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 temperature anomaly (as indicated by the TEMP indicated	or)
by MRU, departure from 15YA (degrees Celsius)	14
Figure 1.3. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 PAR anomaly (as indicated by the RADPAR indicator) by	
MRU, departure from 15YA (percentage)	14
Figure 1.4. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 biomass accumulation (BIOMSS) by MRU, departure fror	n
5YA (percentage)	15
Figure 2.1. West Africa MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2016-January 2017	17
Figure 2.2. North America MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2016 – January 2017	19
Figure 2.3. South America MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2016-January 2017	20
Figure 2.4. South and Southeast Asia MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2016-January 2017	.22
Figure 2.5. Western Europe MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2016-January 2017	24
Figure 2.6. Central Europe-Western Russia MPZ: Agroclimatic and agronomic indicators, October 2016-January	,
2017	26
Figure 3.1. Major wet (shades of green) and dry (shades of yellow and red) areas of global importance	28
Figure 3.2. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 rainfall (RAIN) by country and sub-national areas, depart	ure
from 15YA (percentage)	32
Figure 3.3. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 temperature (TEMP) by country and sub-national areas,	
departure from 15YA (degrees)	33
Figure 3.4. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 PAR (RADPAR) by country and sub-national areas,	
departure from 15YA (percentage)	33
Figure 3.5. Global map of October 2016-January 2017 biomass (BIOMSS) by country and sub-national areas,	
departure from 15YA (percentage)	33

Figures 3.6-3.35. Crop condition for individual countries ([ARG] Argentina- [ZAF] South Africa) October 2	016-
January 2017	34
Figure 4.1. China spatial distribution of rainfall profiles, October 2016-January 2017	66
Figure 4.2. China spatial distribution of temperature profiles, October 2016-January 2017	66
Figure 4.3. China cropped and uncropped arable land, by pixel, October 2016-January 2017	66
Figure 4.4. China maximum Vegetation Condition Index (VCIx), by pixel, October 2016-January 2017	66
Figure 4.5. Change in import and export of four main crops in China, 2017 (percentage)	68
Figure 4.6. Fluctuations in the price of paddy rice, December 2006 to December 2016	70
Figure 4.7. Crop condition China Northeast region, October 2016-January 2017	71
Figure 4.8. Crop condition China Inner Mongolia, October 2016-January 2017	72
Figure 4.9. Crop condition China Huanghuaihai, October 2016-January 2017	73
Figure 4.10. Crop condition China Loess region, October 2016-January 2017	74
Figure 4.11. Crop condition Lower Yangtze region, October 2016-January 2017	75
Figure 4.12. Crop condition Southwest China region, October 2016-January 2017	76
Figure 4.13. Crop condition Southern China region, October 2016-January 2017	77
Figure 5.1. Damage to bananas in Hainan as a result of typhoon Sarika	79
Figure 5.2. Map of estimated precipitation between 12 and 18 January 2017 in Mozambique and Zimbak	owe80
Figure 5.3. Dramatic night view of wild fires in Chile	81
Figure 5.4. Location of countries in East and Southeast Asia	82
Figure 5.5. Topography	83
Figure 5.6. Köppen 1971-2000 Climate map	83
Figure 5.7. Annual precipitation (mm)	84
Figure 5.8. Annual water balance as rainfall-Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) (mm)	84
Figure 5.9. Distribution of main cereals (rice, wheat, maize)	85
Figure 5.10. Percentage of irrigated crop area according to GMIA (2017)	
Figure 5.11. Relative contribution of East and Southeast Asia to the global production of major crops	
Figure 5.12. Exports of major crop categories by Eastern and Southeastern countries compared with the	rest of
the world	87
Figure 5.13. Imports of major crop categories by Eastern and Southeastern countries compared with the	rest of
the world	87
Figure 5.14. Tropical Pacific SSTA (Forecasted and monitored datasets)	
Figure 5.15. MonthlySOI-BOM time series for January 2016 to January 2017	

# Abbreviations

5YA	Five-year average, the average for the four-month period for October-January fror		
	2012 to 2016; one of the standard reference periods.		
15YA	Fifteen-year average, the average for the four-month period from October-January		
	from 2002 to 2016; one of the standard reference periods and typically referred to		
	as "average."		
BIOMSS	CropWatch agroclimatic indicator for biomass production potential		
BOM	Australian Bureau of Meteorology		
CALF	Cropped Arable Land Fraction		
CAS	Chinese Academy of Sciences		
CWAI	CropWatch Agroclimatic Indicator		
CWSU	CropWatch Spatial Units		
DM	Dry matter		
EC/JRC	European Commission Joint Research Centre		
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation		
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations		
GAUL	Global Administrative Units Layer		
GVG	GPS, Video, and GIS data		
ha	hectare		
kcal	kilocalorie		
MPZ	Major Production Zone		
MRU	Monitoring and Reporting Unit		
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index		
OISST	Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature		
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation		
PET	Potential Evapotranspiration		
RADI	CAS Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth		
RADPAR	CropWatch PAR agroclimatic indicator		
RAIN	CropWatch rainfall agroclimatic indicator		
SOI	Southern Oscillation Index		
TEMP	CropWatch air temperature agroclimatic indicator		
Ton	Thousand kilograms		
VCIx	CropWatch maximum Vegetation Condition Index		
VHI	CropWatch Vegetation Health Index		
VHIn	CropWatch minimum Vegetation Health Index		
W/m <sup>2</sup>	Watt per square meter		

## Bulletin overview and reporting period

This CropWatch bulletin presents a global overview of crop stage and condition between October 1 2016 and January 31 2017, a period referred to in this bulletin as the ONDJ (October, November, December, and January) period or just the "reporting period." The bulletin is the 104<sup>th</sup> such publication issued by the CropWatch group at the Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth (RADI) at the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing.

### **CropWatch analyses and indicators**

CropWatch analyses are based mostly on several standard as well as new ground-based and remote sensing indicators, following a hierarchical approach. The analyses cover large global zones; major producing countries of maize, rice, wheat, and soybean; and detailed assessments of Chinese regions. In parallel to an increasing spatial precision of the analyses, indicators become more focused on agriculture as the analyses zoom in to smaller spatial units.

CropWatch uses two sets of indicators: (i) agroclimatic indicators—RAIN, TEMP, and RADPAR, which describe weather factors; and (ii) agronomic indicators—BIOMSS, VHIn, CALF, VCIx, and Cropping Intensity, describing crop condition and development. Importantly, the indicators RAIN, TEMP, RADPAR, and BIOMSS do not directly describe the weather variables rain, temperature, radiation, or biomass, but rather they are spatial averages over agricultural areas, which are weighted according to the local crop production potential. For each reporting period, the bulletin reports on the *departures* for all eight indicators, which (with the exception of TEMP) are expressed in relative terms as a percentage change compared to the average value for that indicator for the last five or fifteen years (depending on the indicator). For more details on the CropWatch indicators and spatial units used for the analysis, please see the quick reference guide in Annex C, as well as online resources and publications posted at www.cropwatch.com.cn.

Chapter	Spatial coverage	Key indicators	
Chapter 1	World, using Monitoring and Reporting Units	RAIN, TEMP, RADPAR, BIOMSS	
	(MRU), 65 large, agro-ecologically homogeneous		
	units covering the globe		
Chapter 2	Major Production Zones (MPZ), six regions that	As above, plus CALF, VCIx, and VHIn	
	contribute most to global food production		
Chapter 3	30 key countries (main producers and exporters)	As above plus NDVI and GVG survey	
Chapter 4	China	As above plus high resolution	
		images	
Chapter 5	Production outlook, disaster events, agriculture in South and Southeast Asia, and an		
	update on El Niño.		
Online Resources	www.cropwatch.com.cn		

This bulletin is organized as follows:

#### **Regular updates and online resources**

The bulletin is released quarterly in both English and Chinese. To sign up for the mailing list, please e-mail cropwatch@radi.ac.cn or visit CropWatch online at www.cropwatch.com.cn. Visit the CropWatch Website for additional resources and background materials about methodology, country agricultural profiles, and country long-term trends.

### **Executive summary**

#### Introduction

The period from October 2016 to January 2017 is a relatively quiet period from an agricultural point of view. In the temperate northern hemisphere summer crops have been harvested, while winter crops have been planted and are now mostly dormant. In some tropical and equatorial countries, including the Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam and Brazil, planting of the second maize and rice generally starts around January, while in the southern hemisphere summer crops are at advanced development stages and nearing flowering, for example maize and soybean in Argentina, Brazil and South Africa.

#### Southern hemisphere production

Winter wheat harvesting was completed in the southern hemisphere, and the current CropWatch Bulletin provides a production estimate for the main producers: 11.245 million tons in Argentina, an increase of 5.0% over last year; 32.066 million tons in Australia (up by a spectacular 24.3%); and 7.747 million tons of winter wheat in Brazil (+10.0%). In Australia and Argentina, the output of the major production areas grew less than the areas that normally contribute little to exportable surpluses. For maize, the traditional producers and exporters in the southern hemisphere will be covered in detail in the May bulletin. The current situation for the crop, however, is promising in Brazil, the main producer in the south, but less favorable in Argentina where excess water has affected summer crops. South Africa, the third largest maize producer in the hemisphere with about 15 million tons in 2014 is currently doing well, after a rather poor performance in 2015 due to drought; CALF, the CropWatch cropped arable land fraction indicator, which assesses to what extent cropland is actually cultivated, increased by 7 percentage points. Although Australia is a minor producer of maize, CALF rose 40 percentage points over the average of the previous five years, the highest variation among all countries monitored by CropWatch. The maximum Vegetation Condition Index (VCIx), however, one of the crop performance indicators, is low for the country due to drought, which is likely to results in an altogether average output.

#### **Global environmental conditions**

Globally, CropWatch analyses identify several large areas of continental scale where conditions varied in a coherent fashion since last October. They are numbered from W01 to W05 (wet) and D01 to D10 (dry), to which the already mentioned Australia belongs. Their location is illustrated in figure 3.1.

#### Wet areas

The first area, W01 or northwestern America, includes major production zones of Canada and the United States (CALF, +10 percentage points) where temperature exceeded seasonal values and where prospects of winter crops are favorable. Next, in northern and central-south America (W02), some areas recorded excess rainfall, as was already mentioned for parts of Argentina. Contrary to W01, W03 (northern-central Europe) was not only wet but also cold, and crop prospects are about average. The area includes Poland (VCIx=0.88) and Ukraine, two countries where snow was unusually abundant in January. In Ukraine, VCIx was just fair (0.67) and CALF dropped by 12 percentage points compared with the recent seasons. The next area, W04, is very large and extends from the western Caspian and northwest India to eastern Asia (Qinghai province of China). It recorded about double of average precipitation, and the CropWatch biomass production potential (BIOMSS) increased accordingly. The last wet area, W05, encompasses

most of continental and maritime Southeast Asia; it had some very large rainfall increases (Cambodia, RAIN, +120%), some of which were brought about by cyclones (see also section 5.2 on disasters for details). Altogether, CALF in this area was relatively stable (extremes are -4% in Cambodia and 0% in Thailand), but VCIx was high, at least 0.87 (Cambodia, Indonesia), reaching 0.94 in Thailand. Production prospects are generally above average.

#### Dry areas

In America, the first identified dry area (D01) extends from the northeastern United States to the Caribbean and Honduras. The average rainfall deficit here reached 21%, with temperature (TEMP) above average by 1°C. In Mexico, which is part of the area, CALF is up 8% with VCIx=0.88, and crop prospects are favorable. In the second dry area, D02 or the western Cono Sul, an area that includes some important pastoral areas, the drop in the biomass production potential indicator (BIOMSS) reached 28% due to drought and low temperature.

The next area, D03, coincides approximately with the Western European Major Production Zone (MPZ) for which chapter 2 provides a detailed analysis. Low rainfall (-30% on average) combined with below average temperature (-1.8°C) affected mostly France where VCIx is 0.73. In other areas, considering the still early stage of the season, prospects remain average or just below.

In D04, the Eastern Mediterranean, the average rainfall deficit was 39%. Turkey, the major agricultural country in the area experienced a marked drop in CALF (-20 percentage points) with one of the lowest VCIx values (0.55). In Egypt, the most populated country in the area and a major global food importer, prospects of winter crops are below average.

Next, in Eastern Africa (D05), BIOMSS dropped 39% due to drought; in several countries 2016 was the second consecutive drought year. In Ethiopia, however, in spite of RAIN being down 26%, CALF is up 6 percentage points with a VCIx of 0.90.

D06 to D09 occur in the eastern European continent and Asia. The first covers western Russia, where RAIN was down by 21% and TEMP by -2.0°C. Considering that CALF in this area is up 16 percentage points, crop prospects remain favorable. D07, southern Siberia to Japan, is of minor global wheat production areas, where rainfall deficit in the region average is -28%, with a temperature anomaly of -1.2°C. The D08 area, which includes several Chinese provinces (Yunnan to Jiangxi) and where rainfall was 28% below average, will be mentioned again below. D09 (most of southern and eastern India) is one of the most problematic areas of the current reporting period. The deficit of rainfall reaches 46%, and this occurs after the damage caused by floods in previous months (and reported in previous CropWatch bulletins). At the same time, however, the northwestern and northern-central areas, which are mostly irrigated, benefited from above average rainfall. Altogether, considering that CALF did not change significantly, crop prospects remain fair.

Finally, D10 includes Australia, which was mentioned above, and New Zealand, where rainfall fell 52% nationwide during the reporting period.

#### China

China enjoyed crop conditions not unlike those of the previous season: TEMP was 0.7°C above average and RAIN was up 12%, combined with a drop in solar radiation (RADPAR, -12%).

Generally, the Northeast and Inner Mongolia regions do not have any crops in the field at this time of reporting because temperature is climatically too low for winter crops. Hibernating winter wheat occurs in all other regions, so that current water supply (as rain or snow) will eventually benefit crops after the winter dormancy phase, especially in the Loess region and Southwest China, two regions where

production prospects are favorable. The regions that will need close monitoring include Huanghuaihai (CALF, down 6 percentage points), Lower Yangtze (CALF dropped 8 percentage points, and indicators undergo a lot of spatial variability), as well as Southwest China where adverse conditions could develop in Chongqing, Guizhou, Hubei, Hunan, and especially Sichuan. In Southern China, crop condition in central Guangdong deserves close monitoring as well, due to its below average condition during the whole period, with VCIx below 0.5.

At the national level, CALF was generally stable with a bit of decreasing in comparison with the previous five years' average, and the overall expectations for the forthcoming winter wheat remain fair.

The bulletin also includes a section on domestic prices; they include a likely increase for rice and a projected decrease for maize and wheat. For soybeans, wide fluctuations are conjectured.